

Evaluation of the seismogenic potential in key areas of the central and southern Apennines through analysis of speleothem vulnerability

THE ALL PARTY OF ALL

Ferranti L. (1), Valentini A. (2), Pace B. (2), Vasta M. (3), Colella A. (1), Montagna P. (4)

(1) Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente, e delle Risorse, Università degli Studi Federico II, Napoli.
(2) DiSPUTer, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio, Chieti.
(3) Dipartimento INGEO, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio, Chieti.
(4) ISMAR – CNR, Bologna

With the contribution of: Calcaterra D. (1), De Massis J. (2), Di Bianco S. (1), La Rocca N. (3), Maschio L. (1), Ramondini M. (4), Berardi D. (5), Teodoro P. (2), Vassallo M. (6)

(1) Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente, e delle Risorse, Università degli Studi Federico II, Napoli.

(2) DiSPUTer, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio, Chieti.

(3) Gruppo Speleologico Sparviere, Alessandria del Carretto, Cosenza,

(4) Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Edile ed Ambientale, Università degli Studi Federico II, Napoli.

(5) Associazione Geonaturalistica Gaia Montesilvano, Pescara.

(6) INGV, L'Aquila.

Aims

- 1. Improvement of existing paleoseismological databases (this is what speleosismologists would like to do..., but we want more)
- 2. Assessment of the peak ground acceleration (PGA) threshold experienced, OR NOT, during past earthquakes, using a numerical model approach
- 3. Detection of the causative seismogenic sources & paleoearthquake size

WHERE:

-Liri valley (W of Fucino Basin) (Central Apennines)

Palano et al., 2011

-Pollino Range (Southern Apennines)



Speleoseismological markers

The stalactite-stalagmite oscillatory system



Stalagmite

fall





Stalactite fal

Speleothem racturing

Bedrock-speleothem rigid displacement



Filt of growth axis

C ELYSE BRUCE 2008

STEP 1: Cave data collection (the dirty work...)

•Selection of required features (concretions within near-surface rooms, wide range of speleothem shapes).

•Recording of speleothem geometry (length & diameter of both broken and unbroken speleothems). N ~200 (Pollino caves) & ~50 (Grotta Cola)

•Collection of samples for (N~30) radiometric dating -> paleoseismological frame





STEP 2: Speleothem geomechanics

•Static tests on a representative speleothem population (~30) from individual caves. *Output*: failure tensile stress and sample mechanical properties (Young modulus, density)



STATIC TESTS AV. RESULTS

- Young modulus = 10.000 Mpa
- Density = 2450 g/cm³
- Tensile Failure stress = 0,8 Mpa



Ultrasound analysis





STEP 3: Numerical modelling of PGA thresholds and sources

Finite element method (FEM), SAP2000 software <u>Input</u>:

- -Speleothem shape (length, diameter); ->MEASURED IN CAVE
- Speleothem mechanical properties (density, Young modulus, tensile stress); -> FROM STATIC TESTS
- Seismic input (seismogenic source distance, Moment magnitude, ground motion prediction equations)

<u>*Output*</u>: Moment (M) and tensile failure stress (σ_T) along speleothem axis; fundamental frequency (f_0)



Central Apennines: Grotta Cola

Tha cave is full of fallen speleothems





Post-fall stalagmite





1 – IL RGrotta Cola – Structural analysis



plane of the radiating energy. •At Grotta Cola, observations are consistent with a ~NNW-SSE striking causative source

Grotta Cola - Seismic input_1 The seismic input has been computed for 7 seismogenic sources

Fucino (SF), Velino-Magnola (SVM), Campo Felice-Ovindoli (SCFO), Valle del Salto (SVDS), Liri (SL), Fucino-Magnola (SFM) e Velino (SV).



 6.6 ± 0.3 6.6 ± 0.2 6.4 ± 0.3 6.6 ± 0.2 6.7 ± 0.2 6.8 ± 0.2 6.1 ± 0.2

1) Distance Cave-Seismogenic source

2) Moment Magnitude (from published work)

Grotta Cola - Seismic input_2

Weighted set of four ground motion prediction equations (SHARE project: Akkar and Bommer, (2013); Cauzzi e Faccioli, (2008); Chiou and Youngs, (2008); Zaho et al., (2006)



Weighted spectra	PGA (g) M _w + sD
SF	0.30
SVM	0.69
SCFO	0.29
SVDS	0.24
SL	0.76
SFM	0.67
sv	0.43

Significant PGA are only reached for Velino-Magnola, Fucino-Magnola, and Liri faults

Sample Cola-1 - FEM modelling

<u>Input:</u> Geometry & ge

Geometry & geo-mechanical input: Heigth (H) = 1.73 metri; Diameter (D) = variable Density (p) = 2450 kg/mc; Young Modulus (E) = 10000 Mpa Minimum tensile failure stress (o) = 0.8 MPa

Seismic input: Velino-Magnola, Liri, Fucino-Magnola

0 6L

0.2

<u>Results:</u>

The 3 sources (Velino-Magnola, Liri, Fucino-Magnola) out of 7 were found capable of exceeding the 0.8 Mpa minimum tensile failure stress for sample Cola-1 at the exact rupture point (~20 cm; speleothem neck)



Predicted pattern of σ along the axis of Cola-1 produced by slip on the Magnola, Liri, Fucino-Magnola

20 cm



Grotta Cola - Paleoseismological events



<u>Two fall events:</u>

- Penultimate (maybe two distinct events) at ~(8-)5.8 & ~4.9-4.3 ka;

- Ultimate just after ~1.8 ka;
- No evidence for 1915 -> vulnerable speleothems were not available after ultimate?

Grotta Cola - Paleoseismological correlation



•Speleoseismological events broadly consistent with timing of earthquakes documented by cosmogenic and trench data for the Magnola fault

Southern Apennines: Pollino caves



Pollino-Castrovillari fault system

- •Serra del Gufo, Damale, Ruah, S. Angelo caves: footwall
- •S. Paolo-Ramo del Fiume (Morano) cave: hanging-wall

Hanging-wall cave: S. Paolo-Ramo Fiumer (Morano)

Active speleothem growth
Few speleothems breakage observed
Slender (vulnerable) speleothems unbroken

Footwall caves: Serra del Gufo

Poorly active speleothem growth
Few fallen and tilted speleothems
Slender (vulnerable) speleothems unbroken

A situation totally different wrt Grotta Cola!

Pollino - Paleoseismological results



Pollino - Seismic input

Seismic input computed for 3 seismogenic sources

Pollino fault (PF), Castrovillari fault (CF), and the combined Pollino-Castrovillari faults

San Paolo-Ramo del Fiume (HANGING-WALL)



Damale-Serra del Gufo (FOOTWALL)

Source	PGA (Mmax) S.d.Gufo	PGA(Mmax) S.Paolo	М
Pollino	0.19	0.66	6.3 ± 0.2
Castrovillari	0.22	0.34	6.2 ± 0.2
Pollino+Castrovillari	0.28	0.63	6.5 ± 0.2

PGA computed for the Serra del Gufo and Damale caves (footwall, yellow star) and for San Paolo - Ramo del fiume cave (hanging-wall, blue star)

Pollino - FEM modelling results



0

0.05

0.1

0.15

0.2

0.25

0.3

S.Paolo-Ramo Fiume (HW cave) - event constraints



Two fall events in the last ~20 ka (23-13 and 7 ka). Importantly, no breaking evidence since ~ 7 ka

Pollino - FEM modelling results San Paolo - Ramo del fiume Cave (HANGING-WALL)



Few speleothems are vulnerable to seismic input from CF. More of them are vulnerable from PF & PF-CF
Slender (and vulnerable) spelethems are unbroken since ~ 2.3 ka

Conclusions

•First semi-quantitative & integrate speleoseismological analysis in the Apennines for detecting seismogenic sources and size of past events;

 Capability of the FEM numerical modelling for calculation of acceleration tresholds;

• Liri valley: paleoevents at ~6-4 ka and <1.8 ka, consistent with independent finding on the Magnola fault; link with Fucino faults and even activity of Liri fault cannot be excluded;

• Pollino: four fall events in the last ~30 ka, possibly originated on the Pollino, Castrovillari or (more likely) Pollino+Castrovillari faults.

• However, slender (vulnerable) stalactites at Morano cave are not deformed, suggesting no strong earthquake has occurred on these faults probably in the last ~7 ka and more likely in the last 2.3 ka

